

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/073,972	02/14/2002	Tomokazu Murakami	Н-1026	4933	
	7590 08/24/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
	Mattingly, Star Suite 370	nger & Malur, P.C.	JOO, JOSHUA			
1800 Diagonal Road		Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	Alexandria, VA	A 22314	2154			
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006	DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/073,972	MURAKAMI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Joshua Joo	2154		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Joshua Joo	2154						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
 a)								
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) bove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues fo appeal; and/or 								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected ciaims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amondmon	+ (DTOL 324)					
<u>=</u>		omphant Amendmen	t (F10L-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed emends	nont canceling					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	anowabie ii submitted iii a separate	, uniery med amendi	lent canceling					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 10-25. Claim(s) with drawn from consideration:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	out before or on the date of filing a land sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will good or other evidence	not be entered is necessary					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)						
13. Other:								
JOHN FOLLANSBEE SUPERVISORY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendment to the claims, e.g. "entered by a user", changes the scope of the invention and would require additional searching by the Examiner.